# Utility Maximization With Habit Formation: Dynamic Programming and Stochastic PDE's

NIKOLAOS ENGLEZOS

Department of Banking

and

Financial Management

University of Piraeus

Joint work with
Professor IOANNIS KARATZAS

Toronto 24 June 2010

#### **Outline**

- The Model
- The Habit-Forming Maximization Problem
- Optimal Policies
- The Role of Stochastic PDE's
- Feedback Formulae
- Dynamic Programming
- Stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation
- Deterministic Coefficients
- An Example
- Open Problems
- Basic References

#### The Model

Probability space:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

Brownian Motion:  $W(\cdot) = (W_1(\cdot), ..., W_d(\cdot))^*$ 

Information filtration:  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t^W)_{t>0}$ 

# Complete Financial Market:

- finite time horizon [0, T]
- a riskless asset  $B(\cdot)$
- d stocks  $S_i(\cdot)$ , i=1,2,...,d such that

$$dB(t) = r(t)B(t)dt, \quad B(0) = 1,$$

$$dS_i(t) = S_i(t) \left[ b_i(t)dt + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{ij}(t)dW_j(t) \right], \quad i = 1, ..., d.$$

Interest rate:  $r(\cdot)$  is bounded.

Vector of rates-of-return:  $b(\cdot) = (b_1(\cdot), ..., b_d(\cdot))^*$  is integrable almost surely.

Volatility matrix:  $\sigma(\cdot) = {\{\sigma_{ij}(\cdot)\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}}$  is square-integrable almost surely and  $\sigma(t)$  has full rank for every t.

All processes are  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable. No anticipation of the future.

Economic agent: At each time t he can decide

- proportion (portfolio)  $\pi_i(t)$  of his wealth X(t) to be invested in the ith stock
- remaining amount is invested in the riskless asset
- consumption rate  $c(t) \ge 0$
- initial endowment x > 0.

For  $X(\cdot) \equiv X^{x,\pi,c}(\cdot)$  we have the equation

$$dX(t) = X(t) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \pi_i(t) \cdot \frac{dS_i(t)}{S_i(t)} + \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \pi_i(t) \right) r(t) dt \right]$$
$$-c(t) dt$$

=  $[r(t)X(t) - c(t)]dt + X(t)\pi^*(t)\sigma(t)[dW(t) + \vartheta(t)dt]$ , subject to the initial condition X(0) = x > 0.

Market price of risk:  $\vartheta(t) \triangleq \sigma^{-1}(t)[b(t) - r(t)\mathbf{1}]$ 

Equivalently, we have

$$\frac{X(t)}{B(t)} + \int_0^t \frac{c(s)}{B(s)} ds = x + \int_0^t \frac{X(s)}{B(s)} \pi^*(s) \sigma(s) \left[ dW(s) + \vartheta(s) ds \right]$$

Utility function:  $u:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$ , such that  $u(t,\cdot)$  is strictly increasing, strictly concave, of class  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , and  $u'(t,0^+)=\infty$ ,  $u'(t,\infty)=0$ ;

Standard of living: average of past consumption. For  $\alpha(\cdot), \delta(\cdot) \geq 0$  F-adapted processes,

$$z(t) \equiv z(t;c) = z e^{-\int_0^t \alpha(v)dv} + \int_0^t \delta(s)e^{-\int_s^t \alpha(v)dv}c(s)ds$$

# The Habit-Forming Maximization Problem

Value function: For given  $(x,z) \in \mathcal{D}$ 

$$V(x,z) \triangleq \sup_{(\pi,c) \in \mathcal{A}(x,z)} E\left[ \int_0^T u(t,c(t)-z(t;c))dt \right]$$

Admissible controls:  $(\pi,c) \in \mathcal{A}(x,z)$  such that

- $c(\cdot) \geq 0$
- $X^{x,\pi,c}(t) \ge 0$ , for all  $t \in [0,T]$
- c(t) z(t; c) > 0,  $\rightsquigarrow$  "addiction"

Optimal Policies by Detemple & Zapatero (1992):

- $\exists$  optimal pair  $(\pi_0, c_0)$
- For  $z_0(\cdot) \equiv z(\cdot, c_0)$  and  $I \triangleq (u')^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  $c_0(t) z_0(t) = I(t, y_0 \Gamma(t)) > 0 :$

optimal scalar:  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ 

"adjusted" state-price density: for  $E_t[\cdot] \triangleq E[\cdot|\mathcal{F}(t)]$ 

$$\Gamma(t) \triangleq H(t) + \delta(t) \cdot E_t \left( \int_t^T e^{\int_t^s (\delta(v) - \alpha(v)) dv} H(s) ds \right)$$

state-price density:  $H(t) \triangleq e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} Z(t)$ 

density:  $Z(t) \triangleq e^{-\int_0^t \vartheta^*(s)dW(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \|\vartheta(s)\|^2 ds}$ 

Theorem 1.  $V(\cdot, z)$  satisfies all the conditions of a utility function as defined previously, for any given  $z \ge 0$ .

Optimal wealth:  $X_0(\cdot) \equiv X^{x,\pi_0,c_0}(\cdot)$  is given by

$$X_0(t) = \frac{1}{H(t)} E_t \left[ \int_t^T H(s) c_0(s) ds \right],$$

and substituting the optimal  $c_0(\cdot)$  we can show that

$$X_0(t) - \mathcal{W}(t)z_0(t) = \frac{1}{H(t)} E_t \left[ \int_t^T \Gamma(s) I(s, y_0 \Gamma(s)) ds \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}(t) \triangleq E_t \left[ \int_t^T e^{\int_t^s (\delta(v) - \alpha(v)) dv} \frac{H(s)}{H(t)} ds \right] \text{ and } \mathcal{W}(0) = w.$$

Theorem 2. The effective state space of  $\left(X_0(t), z_0(t)\right)$  is identified as the random wedge

$$\mathcal{D}_t \triangleq \{(x', z') \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times [0, \infty); \ x' > \mathcal{W}(t)z'\},\ \mathcal{D}_T \triangleq \{(0, z'); \ z' \in [0, \infty)\}, \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}.$$

•  $W(\cdot)$  is the "marginal" cost of subsistence consumption per unit of standard of living at t.

**Next Goal:** Dependence of the optimal pair  $(\pi_0, c_0)$  on the wealth  $X_0(\cdot)$  and standard of living  $z_0(\cdot)$ .

Assumption:  $\vartheta(\cdot)$  bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$ 

Change of measure:  $P^0(A) \triangleq E[Z(T)\mathbf{1}_A], A \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ 

New d-dim BM:  $W_0(t) \triangleq W(t) + \int_0^t \vartheta(s) ds$  under  $P^0$ 

For  $(t,y) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $t \leq s \leq T$ , consider

• 
$$Z^t(s) \triangleq Z(s)/Z(t)$$
,

• 
$$H^t(s) \triangleq \exp\left\{-\int_t^s r(v)dv\right\} Z^t(s),$$

• 
$$\Gamma^t(s) \triangleq H^t(s) + \delta(s) \cdot E_s \left( \int_s^T e^{\int_s^{\theta} (\delta(v) - \alpha(v)) dv} H^t(\theta) d\theta \right)$$

Assumption:  $r(\cdot) - \delta(\cdot) + \alpha(\cdot)$  is deterministic

• 
$$\Gamma^t(s)=H^t(s)\mu(s)$$
, with 
$$\mu(t)\triangleq 1+\delta(t)w(t)$$
 
$$w(t)\triangleq \int_t^T e^{\int_t^s (-r(v)+\delta(v)-\alpha(v))dv}ds=\mathcal{W}(t)$$

Key Process: 
$$Y^{(t,y)}(s) \triangleq y \Gamma^t(s), \quad t \leq s \leq T$$

Rewrite the relationship derived for  $X_0(\cdot)$  and  $z_0(\cdot)$  as

$$X_0(t) - w(t)z_0(t) = \mathfrak{X}\left(t, \frac{Y^{(0,y_0)}(t)}{\mu(t)}\right),$$

in terms of

Random field:  $\mathfrak{X}: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $\mathfrak{X}(t,y) \triangleq E_t^0 \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s r(v) dv} \mu(s) I(s,yY^{(t,1)}(s)) ds \right]$ 

In the following slide, we recall a generalized **Itô-Kunita-Wentzell formula** for *random fields*. Generalized Itô-Kunita-Wentzell (GIKW): Let the random field F be of class  $C^{0,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$  and satisfy

$$\mathbf{F}(t,\mathsf{x}) = \mathbf{F}(0,\mathsf{x}) + \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(s,\mathsf{x})ds + \int_0^t \mathbf{g}^*(s,\mathsf{x})dW(s),$$

where  $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{g}^{(d)})$  are  $C^{0,2}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted random fields, and  $\mathbf{f}$  is a  $C^{0,1}$  random field. Furthermore, let  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}^{(n)})$  be a vector of continuous semimartingales with decompositions

$$\mathbf{X}^{(i)}(t) = \mathbf{X}^{(i)}(0) + \int_0^t \mathbf{b}^{(i)}(s)ds + \int_0^t (\mathbf{h}^{(i)}(s))^* dW(s),$$

where  $\mathbf{h}^{(i)} = (\mathbf{h}^{(i,1)}, \dots, \mathbf{h}^{(i,d)})$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable, almost surely square integrable vector process, and  $\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(\cdot)$  is an almost surely integrable process. Then  $\mathbf{F}(\cdot, \mathbf{X}(\cdot))$  is also a continuous semimartingale, with decomposition

$$\mathbf{F}(t, \mathbf{X}(t)) = \mathbf{F}(0, \mathbf{X}(0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_{i}}(s, \mathbf{X}(s)) d\mathbf{X}^{(i)}(t)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{X}(s)) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{g}^{*}(s, \mathbf{X}(s)) dW(s)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{X}_{i}}^{(j)}(s, \mathbf{X}(s)) \mathbf{h}^{(i,j)}(s) ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{k}}(s, \mathbf{X}(s)) d\langle \mathbf{X}^{(i)}, \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \rangle(s).$$

Notation: Consider the class of pair random fields

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{F}} \triangleq C_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T]; \mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega; C^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{+}))) \times \mathbb{L}^{2}_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T; \mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega; C^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathbb{R}^{d})))$$

#### The Role of Stochastic PDE's

Under reasonable assumptions on the utility preferences (u, I,...), and the study of parabolic BSPDE's by Ma and Yong (1997), we reach the following result.

# Proposition 3.

There exists a random field  $\Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}$  such that the pair  $(\mathfrak{X},\Psi^{\mathfrak{X}})\in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$  is the **unique**  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted solution of the **linear** parabolic BSPDE

$$-d\mathfrak{X}(t,y) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\|\vartheta(t)\|^2 y^2 \mathfrak{X}_{yy}(t,y) + \left(\|\vartheta(t)\|^2 - r(t)\right) y \mathfrak{X}_y(t,y) - r(t) \mathfrak{X}(t,y) - \vartheta^*(t) y \Psi_y^{\mathfrak{X}}(t,y) + \mu(t) I(t,y\mu(t))\right] dt - \left(\Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}(t,y)\right)^* dW_0(t) \quad \text{on } [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$\mathfrak{X}(T,y) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+.$$

#### Remark 4.

Integrating over [t,T], the above BSPDE yields the semimartingale decomposition of the process  $\mathfrak{X}(\cdot,y)$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

For  $t \in [0,T)$ 

- ullet  $\mathfrak{X}(t,\cdot)$  is strictly decreasing,
- $\mathfrak{X}(t,0^+)=\infty$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(t,\infty)=0$

Inverse random field: 
$$\mathfrak{Y}(t,\cdot) \triangleq \mathfrak{X}^{-1}(t,\cdot)$$
 of class  $C_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T);C^3(\mathbb{R}^+))$ .

#### Feedback Formulae

Inverting  $\mathfrak{X}$  in the equation of  $X_0(\cdot)$  and  $z_0(\cdot)$ , we have

$$Y^{(0,y_0)}(t) = \mu(t)\mathfrak{J}(t),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{J}(t) \triangleq \mathfrak{Y}(t, X_0(t) - w(t)z_0(t)).$$

Then the optimal consumption process  $c_0(\cdot)$  is expressed by

$$c_0(t) = z_0(t) + I(t, \mu(t)\mathfrak{J}(t))$$

Theorem 5a. The optimal consumption policy  $c_0(\cdot)$  admits the **stochastic feedback form** of

$$c_0(t) = \mathfrak{C}(t, X_0(t), z_0(t)), \quad 0 \le t < T,$$

determined by the random field

$$\mathfrak{C}(t,x,z) \triangleq z + I(t,\mu(t)\mathfrak{Y}(t,x-w(t)z)), \quad (x,z) \in \mathcal{D}_t.$$

Employing the GIKW's rule to the equation of  $X_0(\cdot)$  and  $z_0(\cdot)$ , and using the semimartingale decomposition of Proposition 3, we obtain the integral equation

$$\frac{X_0(t)}{B(t)} + \int_0^t \frac{c_0(s)}{B(s)} ds$$

$$= x - \int_0^t \frac{1}{B(s)} \left[ \vartheta(s) \frac{\mathfrak{J}(s)}{\mathfrak{J}_x(s)} - \Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}(s, \mathfrak{J}(s)) \right]^* dW_0(s),$$

where  $\mathfrak{J}_x(t) \triangleq \mathfrak{Y}_x(t, X_0(t) - w(t)z_0(t)).$ 

A comparison of the later with the wealth equation implies that

$$X_0(t)\pi_0^*(t)\sigma(t) = -\left[\vartheta(t)\frac{\mathfrak{J}(t)}{\mathfrak{J}_x(t)} - \Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}(t,\mathfrak{J}(t))\right]^*.$$

Theorem 5b. The optimal portfolio strategy  $\pi_0(\cdot)$  admits the **stochastic feedback form** of

$$\pi_0(t) = \mathfrak{P}(t, X_0(t), z_0(t)), \quad 0 < t < T$$

determined by

$$\mathfrak{P}(t,x,z) \triangleq -\frac{1}{x} (\sigma^*(t))^{-1} \left[ \vartheta(t) \frac{\mathfrak{Y}(t,x-w(t)z)}{\mathfrak{Y}_x(t,x-w(t)z)} - \Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}(t,\mathfrak{Y}(t,x-w(t)z)) \right], \quad (x,z) \in \mathcal{D}_t.$$

# **Dynamic Programming**

Generalized time horizon: [t, T].

Value random field:

$$\mathbf{V}(t,x,z) \triangleq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\pi,c)} E_t \left[ \int_t^T u\left(s,c(s)-z(s)\right) ds \right],$$

Extension:  $V(0,\cdot,\cdot) = V(\cdot,\cdot)$ 

By analogy with the previous analysis, we get

$$\mathbf{V}(t,x,z)=\mathfrak{G}ig(t,\mathfrak{Y}(t,x-w(t)z)ig),\ (x,z)\in\mathcal{D}_t,\ t\in[0,T),$$
 where

$$\mathfrak{G}(t,y) \triangleq E_t \left[ \int_t^T u(s, I(s, yY^{(t,1)}(s))) ds \right]$$

**Boundary conditions:** 

$$V(T, x, z) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{(x,z)\to(\chi,\zeta)} V(t, x, z) = \int_t^T u(s, 0^+) ds, \quad \forall \ (\chi, \zeta) \in \partial \mathcal{D}_t.$$

Likewise with  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,

- ullet  $\exists$  random field  $\Phi^{\mathfrak{G}}$  such that
- ullet  $(\mathfrak{G},\Phi^{\mathfrak{G}})\in G_{\mathbb{F}}$  is the  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted unique solution of another linear parabolic BSPDE

# Stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman(HJB) Equation

#### Theorem 6.

The pair of random fields  $(V, \Xi)$ , where

$$\Xi(t, x, z) \triangleq \Phi^{\mathfrak{G}}(t, \mathfrak{Y}(t, x - w(t)z))$$

$$- \mathfrak{Y}(t, x - w(t)z)\Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}(t, \mathfrak{Y}(t, x - w(t)z)),$$

belongs to  $G_{\mathbb{F}}$  and is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted classical solution of the stochastic HJB dynamic programming PDE (cf. Peng (1992))

$$-d\mathbf{V}(t,x,z) = \underset{0 \le c < \infty}{\operatorname{ess \, sup}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma^*(t)\pi\|^2 x^2 \mathbf{V}_{xx}(t,x,z) \right.$$

$$+ \left[ r(t)x - c + \pi^*\sigma(t)\vartheta(t)x \right] \mathbf{V}_x(t,x,z)$$

$$+ \left[ \delta(t)c - \alpha(t)z \right] \mathbf{V}_z(t,x,z)$$

$$+ \pi^*\sigma(t)x \Xi_x(t,x,z) + u(t,c-z) \right\} dt$$

$$- \Xi(t,x,z)dW(t), \quad t \in [0,T), \quad (x,z) \in \mathcal{D}_t$$

with the boundary conditions of the previous slide.

#### Remark 7.

The **optimal values** for the above maximization are provided by  $(\mathfrak{P}(t,x,z),\mathfrak{C}(t,x,z))$ .

Carrying out the maximization, the stochastic HJB takes the

#### Conventional form:

$$-d\mathbf{V}_t(t, x, z) = \mathcal{H}\Big(\mathbf{V}_{xx}, \mathbf{V}_x, \mathbf{V}_z, \mathbf{\Xi}_x, t, x, z\Big)dt$$
$$-\mathbf{\Xi}(t, x, z)dW(t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathsf{A}, p, q, \mathsf{B}, t, x, z) \triangleq -\frac{1}{2\mathsf{A}} \|\vartheta(t)p + \mathsf{B}\|^2$$

$$+ \left[ r(t)x - z - I(t, p - \delta(t)q) \right] p$$

$$+ \left[ (\delta(t) - \alpha(t))z + \delta(t)I(t, p - \delta(t)q) \right] q$$

$$+ u(t, I(t, p - \delta(t)q))$$

for A < 0, p > 0, q < 0 and B  $\in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Remark 8.

We have achieved a **concrete solution** of the **strongly nonlinear** stochastic HJB equation by solving instead the two **linear** equations of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Uniqueness: Necessary and sufficient condition for

#### Convex dual of ${f V}$ :

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(t,y) \triangleq \underset{(x,z) \in \mathcal{D}_t}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \left\{ \mathbf{V}(t,x,z) - \left(x - w(t)z\right)y \right\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Identity: 
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(t,y) = \mathfrak{G}(t,y) - y\mathfrak{X}(t,y),$$

#### Theorem 9.

The pair of random fields  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}, \Lambda)$ , where

$$\Lambda(t,y) \triangleq \Phi^{\mathfrak{G}}(t,y) - y\Psi^{\mathfrak{X}}(t,y),$$

belongs to  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$  and is the unique  $\mathbb{F}\text{-adapted solution}$  of the linear BSPDE

$$-d\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(t,y) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\|\vartheta(t)\|^2 y^2 \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_{yy}(t,y) - r(t)y \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}_y(t,y) - \vartheta^*(t)y \wedge_y(t,y) + \widetilde{u}(t,y\mu(t))\right] dt$$
$$- \wedge^*(t,y) dW(t) \quad \text{on } [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(T,y) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+.$$

#### Remark 10.

To compute V:

- ullet resolve the above equation for  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}, \Lambda)$
- ullet invert the dual transformation to recover  ${f V}$  as

$$\mathbf{V}(t,x,z) = \underset{y \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{ess inf}} \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(t,y) + \left( x - w(t)z \right) y \right\}, \quad (x,z) \in \mathcal{D}_t.$$

#### **Deterministic Coefficients**

# Case of deterministic model coefficients:

- asset prices become Markov processes
- the **feedback formulae** for  $(\pi_0, c_0)$  reduce to the **deterministic functions**

$$C(t,x,z) \triangleq z + I(t,\mu(t)\mathcal{Y}(t,x-w(t)z)),$$

$$\Pi(t,x,z) \triangleq -(\sigma^*(t))^{-1}\vartheta(t) \cdot \frac{\mathcal{Y}(t,x-w(t)z)}{x\mathcal{Y}_x(t,x-w(t)z)},$$

where

 $\mathcal{Y}(t,\cdot)$  is the **inverse** of the function

$$\mathcal{X}(t,y) \triangleq E^{0} \left[ \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r(v) dv} \mu(s) I(s, yY^{(t,1)}(s)) ds \right].$$

# Corollary 11.

An investor

- ullet needs only his *current* level of  $X_0(t)$  and  $z_0(t)$ ,
- doesn't need entire history of the market up to t. Therefore  $\left(X_0(t),z_0(t)\right)$  is a **sufficient statistic** for the optimization problem.

# An Example: Logarithmic Utility

Let 
$$u(t,x) = \log x$$
.

We have 
$$I(t,y) = 1/y$$
 and  $\tilde{u}(t,y) = -\log y - 1$ .

# Case 1: Deterministic Coefficients.

Unique solution of the Cauchy problem for  $\widetilde{V}$ :

$$\tilde{v}(t,y) \triangleq -\nu(t) \log \left(y\mu(t)\right) - m(t),$$
 where 
$$\nu(t) = T - t$$
 
$$m(t) = \int_t^T \left[1 - (T-s)\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\vartheta(s)\|^2 + r(s) - \frac{\mu'(s)}{\mu(s)}\right)\right] ds.$$

Therefore inverting the dual transformation:

$$\mathcal{X}(t,y) = \frac{\nu(t)}{y} , \qquad \mathcal{Y}(t,x) = \frac{\nu(t)}{x} ,$$
 
$$V(t,x) = \nu(t) \log \left( \frac{x - w(t)z}{\nu(t)\mu(t)} \right) + \nu(t) - m(t) ,$$

and the feedback formulae are

$$C(t,x) = z + \frac{x - w(t)z}{\nu(t)\mu(t)},$$
  

$$\Pi(t,x) = (\sigma^*(t))^{-1} \vartheta(t) \frac{x - w(t)z}{r}.$$

## Case 2: Stochastic Coefficients.

By analogy with the deterministic case, we introduce in this case the  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted random field

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{v}}(t,y) \triangleq -
u(t) \log \left(y\mu(t)\right) - \mathfrak{m}(t)$$

with

$$\nu(t) = T - t,$$
  $\mathfrak{m}(t) = E_t \left[ \int_t^T m(s) ds \right].$ 

Moreover, the *completeness* of the market stipulates the existence of an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued,  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable, square-integrable process  $\ell(\cdot)$ , such that the Brownian martingale (cf. Karatzas & Shreve (1991))

$$\mathfrak{M}(t) = E_t \left[ \int_0^T m(s) ds \right]$$

has the representation  $\mathfrak{M}(t) = \mathfrak{M}(0) + \int_0^t \ell^*(s) dW(s)$ .

It is verified directly that the pair  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{v}},\ell)$  solves the BSPDE for  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{V}},\Lambda)$ . Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{X}(t,y) = \frac{\nu(t)}{y}, \qquad \mathfrak{Y}(t,x) = \frac{\nu(t)}{x}$$

and

$$V(t,x) = \nu(t) \log \left( \frac{x - w(t)z}{\nu(t)\mu(t)} \right) + \nu(t) - \mathfrak{m}(t).$$

For this particular choice of utility preference,  $\mathfrak{X}$  (and so  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ) is deterministic, and the feedback formulas are the same as those of the previous case.

## **Open Problems**

- (1) Incomplete Markets:
- ullet Number of stocks smaller than the dimension of  $W(\cdot)$
- Compute the optimal policies

- (2) Exponential utility function  $u:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$
- c(t) > z(t; c) is removed (non-addictive habits)
- Optimal policies exist by Detemple & Karatzas (2003)
- Develop the Dynamic Programming Theory

- (3) Generalized utility function u(t, c(t), z(t))
- Compute the optimal policies
- Develop the Dynamic Programming Theory

#### **Basic References**

• Detemple, J. B. and Zapatero, F. (1992).

Optimal consumption-portfolio policies with habit formation.

Econometrica **59**, 251–274.

• Detemple, J. B. and Karatzas, I. (2003).

Non addictive habits: optimal portfolio-consumption policies.

J. of Economic Theory 113, 265-285.

- Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S. E. (1991).
   Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus.
   Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Ma, J. and Yong, J. (1997).
   Adapted solution of a degenerate backward SPDE, with applications.
   Stochastic Prosses. Appl. 70, 59–84.
- Peng, S. (1992).
   Stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations.
   SIAM J. Control Optim. 30, 284–304.